

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,822	BENITSCH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel Miller	1775	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel Miller.

(2) Richard Beck.
Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 December 2005
Time: 11:00
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-19

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned applicant's representative and it was agreed to amend claims 5 and 6 in order to more clearly define the claimed subject matter and to remove indefiniteness from the claims. It was also agreed to cancel non-elected claims 12-18. Agreement to examiner's amendment led to allowance of all remaining claims.